

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,115	07/31/2003	Paul Michel	EFIM0233	7755
31408 LAW OFFICE	7590 03/20/2008 OF JAMES TROSINO	EXAMINER		
92 NATOMA	STREET, SUITE 211	KASSA, HILINA S		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/631,115	MICHEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HILINA S. KASSA	2625		

	HILINA S. KASSA	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory peniod for reply expires Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		TINOT KELET WAS TI	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\bigcirc \text{propulses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) } \(\bigcirc \text{how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov } \) The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: C		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-26 and 28-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Gabriel I Garcia/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 2625			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments made for claim 10 are not persuasive. In regards to the a print job comprising an object having an associated print attribute, Jackelen discloses printer 20 figure 1 which receives print job from the network. The print job header is parsed and the print job attributes are determined (paragraph [0018], lines 1-5). Therefore, the object is considered as the "pirnt job" which has print job header.

In regards to the arrgument about associating a corresponding unique marker to the determined attribute, Jackelen dislosses, a pre-job matching or association with the printer resources and capabilities. i.e. the corresponding unique marker is considered as the printer resource and capabilities which determine the attribute (paragraph [0018], lines 6-9).

In regards to the argument about determining if the attribute associated with the processed object matches the determined attribute, Jackelen discloses, wherein the print job attributes get checked or determined if it is associated with the printer resource or capabilities (paragraph (0019), lines 4-9)

In regards to the argument about reporting the results of any matched object using the corresponding unique marker, Jackelen discloses wherein if a mismatic is detected between the print job attribute and the printer resource which is considered as the unique match maker, a message is objected on the printer user interface informing the user about the mismatch state (paraquarab InO201, lines 1-6).